# Ocean Energy Forum Workstream 3

**Environment and Consenting** 

**Chair: Phil Gilmour** 

# Contents

- 1. Agreed topics to be covered by steering group
- 2. Shared experience
- 3. Lessons learnt
- 4. Project ideas

# **Agreed Topics**

- 1. Procedural aspects licensing and consenting
- 2. Strategic solutions research, monitoring, SEA, EIA
- 3. Social aspects highlighting success and good practice
- 4. Links to other groups and sectors
- 5. Integrated approach regional and joint initiatives

# **Shared experiences - 1**

- Scottish example: Licensing manual for wave and tidal energy developed, but found processing agreements should be given priority to set out timeframes for consenting
- Portuguese example: integrated approach via National Ocean Strategy. Connect knowledge via a common system and platform to integrate all data
- Atlantic Region example: developed an Atlantic Power Cluster to benchmark renewable energy development within the Atlantic arc region countries.

### **Shared experiences - 2**

- Spanish example: in relation to wave and tidal devices the competent body is the central government. But bottlenecks mean projects often get stuck in the process.
- Dutch example: have a one stop shop in form of an online questionnaire to gather all information from nature conservation to building permits. But require all information up front which is very time consuming.
- Irish example: obtain outline planning permission for land based developments before committing to costs of development – is this possible for ocean energy?

#### **Lessons learnt - 1**

- Focus should not be on changing legislation, but setting out clear responsibilities – potential to develop MoUs between organizations to identify lead roles
- Ensure flexibility and common sense within the process to promote delivery e.g. continue monitoring in parallel with consent process
- Important that the costs of monitoring are understood, as it is often SMEs that are funding this.

#### **Lessons learnt - 2**

- National administrations have different approaches, but all recognize benefit of supporting ocean energy. Huge cost associated with changing these systems.
- Importance of single point of entry for developers one stop shop or case officer i.e. a main point of contact for developer
- Potential to set out high level principles of what a permitting consenting process should look like.
- Importance of understanding roles and responsibilities within consenting process for each member state.

# Project idea 1: Procedural aspects – licensing and consenting

- Promoting an efficient consenting process
  - Review processes and provide framework for best options
  - Focus on high level principles
  - Share lessons learnt
  - Goal to remove barriers specifically for ocean energy
  - To be developed by steering group and disseminated by the steering group
  - Short term timeframe
  - Based on Anne Marie's presentation. Use online tool (dropbox) to collate document and steering group comment and expand.

# Project idea 2: Strategic solutions

- Strategic solutions for research and monitoring
- European wide monitoring and data collection of appropriate receptors
- Sharing knowledge and data e.g. GP wind
  - Work in progress....

# Project idea 3: Social aspects

- Create a public relations document highlighting social aspects of ocean energy
  - Methodology for Social Impact Assessment currently underway by Edinburgh University
  - Communicating social success of projects e.g. employment in Orkney
  - Highlighting good practice and importance of job creation
  - Highlight opportunities and transposing skills e.g. diversification for commercial fishermen
  - Case studies of good practice that worked to overcome barriers e.g. communication & liaison with fishermen
  - Audience is the public public engagement regarding benefits of ocean energy